

March 27, 1974

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: And I had a substitute amendment up there. Which amendment is this, on 856. What was that page again. 856.

CLERK: We seem to have Senator, two separate sets, similar but not alike.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: The second set is what I want.

CLERK: The ones with out any numbered lines. They both amend the same section.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Right. The second set should also be in the Journal somewhere. I don't know where they are though.

CLERK: I don't believe the second set is.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: I'd withdraw the first set of amendments. The ones on 857, and if you will sent me back a copy of that second set. 757. Did you print these in the Journal.

CLERK: They do not appear to have been. They do not appear to ...

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: I'll explain the amendment, if somebody wants it passed over. The difference between this amendment and the one printed in the Journal. The amendment would provide change the method of election for the board of trustees of the Sanitary Improvement District currently the law requires a various breakdown of ownership of property, and that they give one vote to each lot owner, one of subdivided lots, and one vote to each holder of, I believe each half-acre of undeveloped land within the SID. The affect of this is to give predominant balance of power to the developers of the SID to an overwhelming extent to a certain point in time when the SID reaches certain portion of development you have a good number of homeowners who do not have any rural electral power to enforce their will over the will of the developer. Through their often economic detriment because the Board of Trustees authorizes the expenditures of capital improvements and accepts the levy upon those property owners. So the second ...the first amendment was an original draft and would have provided that each resident elector of the district would have a vote for the after the SID had been in existence for 4 years each resident elected would have a voted with the Board of Trustees. I found that to be somewhat over bearing on the developer. And I have amended that to each resident property owner, rather than electives so that apartment dwellers and such would not have a vote, although they were residents, you would have to be a property owner. Which I believe would give the property or the proper balance between those people who have an interest in the SID, which is the homeowner, and between the developer, who also has an interest in retaining some control. You would have one vote per each property owner, rather than voting by property. It would be voting by individuals who owns property. I move the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT: All right, Senator Barnett. And then Senator Keyes. We are speaking on the Cavanaugh amendment 757.

SENATOR BARNETT: I have another amendment, that's the only reason...